# Newry, Mourne and Down District Council Policy Screening Form

**Policy Information** 

1 Oney intermedicin	
Name of the policy	NMDDC Credit Card Policy
Is this an existing, revised or new policy?	New policy
What is it trying to achieve (aims/outcomes)	The purpose of this policy is to set out a framework for the use of Credit Cards including appropriate use and reporting of expenditure. The policy aims to ensure that the operational and administrative costs and the risks associated with credit card use are minimised, while providing cardholders with a convenient method of purchasing good and services on behalf of NMDDC.
Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?	None directly anticipated
If so, explain how.	n/a
Who initiated or wrote the policy?	Gerard Byrne, Audit Service Manager
Who owns and who implements the policy?	Dorinnia Carville, Director of Corporate Services

Implementation factors

······································	Yes	No
Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from		<b>√</b>
the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?		
If yes, are they Financial		
If yes, are they Legislative		
If yes, and they are Other please specify:		

#### Main stakeholders affected

Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact upon?

	V	NI-
	Yes	No
Staff	✓	
Service users	✓	
Other public sector organisations		✓
Voluntary/community/trade unions		✓
Other, please specify:		

# Other policies with a bearing on this policy

What are they	Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy
Who owns them	Dorinnia Carville, Director of Corporate Services

# Available evidence

What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

Section 75 Category	Details of ne	eeds/experie	nces/priorit	ties		
Religious						
belief	LGD	All usual residents	Catholic	Protestant and other Christian	Other religions	None
	Northern Ireland	1,810,863	817,385 (45.14%)	875,717 (48.36%)	16,592 (0.9%)	101,169 (5.59%)
	Newry, Mourne & Down	171533	113200 (65.99%)	34718 (20.34%)	752 (0.43%)	10229 (5.96%)
	(Source: Cen	sus Data 201	1).		······································	
Political	Elected politica	al party repre	sentation is	an approximat	e barometer	of politica
opinion	opinion of peo	ple within the	Council are	a.		
	The party brea	kdown of the	Council's el	ected membe	rs is as follo	ws:
	Sinn Féin		14 s	seats		
	SDLP			seats		
	Democratic Un	iionist		seats		
	Independents Ulster Unionist			eats		
	Alliance			eats		
	TUV		1 se	eat eat		
Racial group	According to the population of Nathan double the	lorthern Irelai	nd belongs t	o minority ethr	sually residence groups; the	ent his is more
	The minority et indicator of the	hnic languag BME commu	e profile with unity profile.	nin the area se	rves as a po	ossible
	The composition Council area is	on of languag noted by NIS	e groups in t SRA (2011) a	the Newry, Mo as follows:	urne and Do	own Distric
	Minority Ethni Area	c Language	Profile of the	ne Newry, Mo	urne and D	own LGD
	Main language Newry, Mourn District LGD		s in	Number	Percenta	ge %

English	156794	97.15
Polish	2100	1.18
Lithuanian	836	0.47
Irish	367	0.24
Portuguese	86	0.05
Slovak	134	0.08
Chinese	121	0.07
Tagalog/Filipino	55	0.03
Latvian	208	0.25
Russian	109	0.06
Malayalam	87	0.05
Hungarian	74	0.04
Other	755	0.46

Age

The age profile of the Newry, Mourne and Down LGD area at Census Day 2011 is as follows:

Age Profile	NI	Newry, Mourne & Down
0-4	124382	12721
5-7	67662	6876
8-9	43625	4595
10-14	119034	12287
15	24620	2599
16-17	51440	5260
18-19	50181	4570
20-24	126013	11570
25-29	124099	11805
30-34	373947	35122
45-59	347850	32556
60-64	94290	8624
65-74	145600	12817
75-84	86724	7453
85-89	21165	1849
90+	10231	829

Marital status

The table below illustrates the marital status profile of the Newry, Mourne and Down area:

Marital Status	Newry, Mourne and Down LGD	NI
All usual residents: Aged 16+ years	132455	1431540
Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership)	47722	517393 (35.14%)

	Tr		
	Aged 16+		
	years Married:	CEOSE	200004
_		65255	680831
	Aged 16+ years		(47.56%)
	In a	102	1243
	registered		(0.09%)
	same-sex civil partnership: Aged 16+		
	years		
	Separated (but still legally married or still legally in a same-sex	4697	56911 (3.98%)
	civil partnership): Aged 16+ years	0074	
	Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership	6271	78074 (5.45%)
	which is now legally dissolved	0.400	
	Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil	8408	97088 (6.78%)
	partnership: Aged 16+ years		
Sexual orientation	Analysis of the Co	ensus 2011 indicate e lesbian, gay or bis	es that between 2% and 10% of the sexual.
	bisexual people in HM Treasury shows themselves as gar	n Northern Ireland. I ws that between 5% y, lesbian, bisexual	ion to the number of gay, lesbian or However, research conducted by the 6 - 7% of the UK population identify or 'trans' (transsexual, transgendered
Monand	in Northern Irelan	d.	zeable proportion of the population here
Men and women	The gender profi	le for the Newry, M	ourne and Down LGD is as follows:
generally	LGD	Male	Female
	Northern Ireland		

	Newry, Mou and Down L		83866	85345	
Disability	According to the Down District ( limits their day	Council area h	us 19.62% of peo ave a long-term l es;	ple in the Newry health problem o	r, Mourne and r disability that
	LGD	All usual residents	Long-term health problem or disability: Day-to-day activities limited a lot	Long-term health problem or disability: Day-to-day activities limited a little	Long-term health problem or disability: Day-to-day activities not limited
	Northern	1810863	215232	159414	1436217
	Ireland		(11.89%)	(8.8%)	(79.31%)
	Newry, Mourne and Down	171533	19579 (11.4%)	14102 (8.22%)	135530 (79.01%)
	signific	ant visual imp people with le	nere are 57,000 b airment earning disabilitie		Sopie Witi1
Dependants	for the care of dependent old	a child (or chi er person.	ay be people who	with a disability, a	and / or a
	have depende	nts. With reg	ds in Newry, Moul ard to these figur ose aged 16-18 y	es, dependents a	are defined as
	education and regional trend	living with the the the the	eir parents or grain n of households v 981 to 37.5% in 2	ndparents. Simil with dependents	ar to the
	Mourne and D households in Belfast (17,03 Craigavon (6,3 Mourne and D employment a	own which eq the District ar 6), Derry and 337). Half of t bown are unen	t households with quates to almost 9 nd is the fourth hig Strabane (6,337) he parents in lon- inployed, almost a litter are in part tir eholds are female	9% of number of ghest in Northern and Armagh, Base parent househous in function from the medium of the medium of the semployment.	total I Ireland, after anbridge and old in Newry, all time 89% of the

In 2012, the teenage conception rate was 1.02 per 1,000 mothers, which is the third lowest in N.Ireland before Lisburn and Castlereagh and Fermanagh and Omagh.

### Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 Category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	It is not envisaged that people of different religious beliefs will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.
Political opinion	It is not envisaged that people of different political opinion will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.
Racial group	It is not envisaged that people of different races will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.
Age	It is not envisaged that people of different ages will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.
Marital status	It is not envisaged that people of different marital status will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.
Sexual orientation	It is not envisaged that people of different sexual orientation will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.
Men and women generally	It is not envisaged that people of different gender will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.
Disability	It is not envisaged that people that may or may not have a disability will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.
Dependants	It is not envisaged that people with or without dependants will have specific needs / experiences / priorities in relation to this policy.

# **Screening Questions**

1. What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 grounds?

Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? Major/minor/none
Religious belief		None
Political opinion		None
Racial group		None
Age	i.	None
Marital status		None

Sexual orientation	None	
Men and women generally	None	
Disability	None	
Dependants	None	

2. Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide details
Religious belief		No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.
Political opinion		No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.
Racial group		No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.
Age		No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.
Marital status		No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.
Sexual orientation	17	No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.
Men and women generally		No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.
Disability		No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.
Dependants		No, there are no anticipated adverse impacts for this S75 category.

3. To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Good relations category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? Major/minor/none
Religious belief	There is nothing to suggest that the policy and associated implementation procedure will adversely impact upon good relations.	None
Political opinion	There is nothing to suggest that the policy and associated implementation procedure will adversely impact upon good relations.	None
Racial group	There is nothing to suggest that the policy and	None

associated implementation	
procedure will adversely	
impact upon good relations.	

**4.** Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Good relations category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If No, provide details
Religious belief		There is nothing to suggest that the policy and associated implementation procedure will promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group.
Political opinion		There is nothing to suggest that the policy and associated implementation procedure will promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group.
Racial group		There is nothing to suggest that the policy and associated implementation procedure will promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group.

#### Additional considerations

## **Multiple identity**

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? (For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).

#### None

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

# **Screening Decision**

In light of your answers to the previous questions, do you feel that the policy should (please underline one):

- 1. Not be subject to an EQIA (with no mitigating measures required)
- 2. Not be subject to an EQIA (with mitigating measures /alternative policies)
- 3. Not be subject to an EQIA at this time
- 4. Be subject to an EQIA

If 1. or 2. (i.e. not be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons why:

Having screened the Credit Card Policy the decision is that it should not be subject to an EQIA (with no mitigating measures required).

The purpose of this policy is to set out a framework for the use of Credit Cards including appropriate use and reporting of expenditure. The policy aims to ensure that the operational and administrative costs and the risks associated with credit card use are minimised, while providing cardholders with a convenient method of purchasing good and services on behalf of NMDDC.

The policy has no impact on Section 75 categories

If 2. (i.e. not be subject to an EQIA), in what ways can identified adverse impacts attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced?

n/a	
In light of these revisions, is there a need to re-screen the revised/alternative po Yes / No. If No, please explain why	olicy?
n/a	
If 3. or 4. (i.e. to conduct an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons:	
n/a	

# Timetabling and prioritising EQIA

If 3. or 4, is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities? N/A

If YES, please provide details:

Please answer the following questions to determine priority for timetabling the EQIA. On a scale of 1-3, with 1 being the lowest priority and 3 being the highest, assess the policy in terms of its priority for EQIA.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a public authority's functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for EQIA. This list of priorities will assist you in timetabling the EQIA. Details of your EQIA timetable should be included in the quarterly Section 75 report.

none

Proposed date for commencing EQIA:

## **Monitoring**

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impacts arising from the policy which may lead you to conduct an EQIA, as well as help with future planning and policy development.

Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

In addition to outlining proposed monitoring arrangements on how the impact of the policy's implementation will be assessed, the following comment should be included:

"The policy will be reviewed in line with the Council's agreed policy review cycle i.e. every four years (as per Council's Equality Scheme commitment 4.31), or sooner as necessary, to ensure that it remains up-to-date with legislative advancements etc."

## **Approval and Authorisation**

Position/Job Title	Date
Audit Services Manager	7/3/2017
Diedo of Operate	6/4/17

Note: The completed policy screening template, signed off by the appropriate policy lead within the Council, and approved by the senior manager responsible for the policy, should be forwarded to the Equality Officer who will arrange for it to be included in the Council's Quarterly Report on Screening and made available on the Council's website.